

7. McIntyre S, et al. Global prevalence of cerebral palsy: A systematic analysis. Dev Med Child Neurol. wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/dmcn 2022; 64:1494–1506

Question One:

According to the study, what is the primary aim of the research?

- a. To determine the causes of cerebral palsy (CP).
- b. To identify the most effective treatment for CP.
- c. To determine trends and current estimates in the global prevalence of CP.
- d. To analyze the neurological effects of CP.

Question Two:

Which regions experienced a significant decline in pre-/perinatal birth prevalence of CP?

- a. All regions represented in the study.
- b. Europe and Australia.
- c. Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).
- d. High-income countries (HICs).

Question Three:

What is the birth prevalence estimate of CP in high-income countries (HICs)?

- a. 0.5 per 1000 live births.
- b. 1.0 per 1000 live births.
- c. 1.5 per 1000 live births.
- d. 3.4 per 1000 live births.

Question Four:

What data source(s) were used for the analysis in the study?

- a. Hospital records only.
- b. Data from birth year 1995 and later.
- c. Both participating CP registers/surveillance systems and population-based prevalence studies.
- d. Only data from high-income countries (HICs).

Question Five:

According to the article, what was the main focus of the analysis regarding CP prevalence?

- a. The neurological symptoms of CP.
- b. Trends and estimates in CP prevalence in different regions.
- c. The treatment options for CP.
- d. The genetic factors contributing to CP.

Question Six:

What is cerebral palsy (CP) primarily caused by, according to the article?

- a. Genetic variants
- b. Accidental brain injury

- c. Hypoxic ischaemia during adulthood
- d. Non-progressive interference in the developing brain

Question Seven:

Which of the following is NOT mentioned as a risk factor for CP in the article?

- a. Early childhood infections
- b. Preterm birth
- c. Congenital anomalies
- d. Accidental and non-accidental brain injury

Question Eight:

What was the most recent birth prevalence of CP found in the systematic review and meta-analysis mentioned in the article?

- a. 0.5 per 1000 live births
- b. 1.0 per 1000 live births
- c. 2.0 per 1000 live births
- d. 2.1 per 1000 live births

Question Nine:

What has contributed to the significant decline in the birth prevalence of CP in HIC regions of Europe, Australia, and Japan, as per the article?

- a. An increase in congenital anomalies
- b. A rise in early childhood infections
- c. Decreased survival of infants born very preterm
- d. Improved public health and perinatal care

Question Ten:

Why is it imperative to include data from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in updating the prevalence of CP, as mentioned in the article?

- a. To identify genetic variants of CP
- b. To determine overall birth prevalence in HICs
- c. Because higher rates of CP are being reported in LMICs
- d. To monitor trends in CP within high-income countries

Question Eleven:

How many regions from 27 countries were included in the data analysis according to the article?

- a. 14 regions
- b. 19 regions
- c. 25 regions
- d. 41 regions

Question Twelve:

What types of data sources were used for the analysis in the article?

- a. Only data from CP registers
- b. Face-to-face clinical assessments
- c. Administrative data linkages
- d. Both CP registers and published literature

Question Thirteen:

In how many of the 14 regions that contributed to the pre-/perinatal trend analysis did a statistically significant decline in birth prevalence occur?

- a. 5 regions
- b. 7 regions
- c. 10 regions

Question Fourteen:

What was the pattern observed in the 12 regions that provided data for post neonatal CP?

- a. All regions showed a significant decline.
- b. One region showed an increase, and one region showed a decline.
- c. Three regions were heterogeneous, and seven showed no change.

Question Fifteen:

According to the article, what was the estimate for the current birth prevalence of overall CP in regions with data since 2010?

- a. 1.6 per 1,000 live births
- b. 0.8 per 10,000 live births
- c. 3.0 per 1,000 live births